

CHINA

NFU

Ye Ruon

30 March
94

Mid-March

Min. of Foreign Affairs

Starts negotiations at
level of asst. sec.
on NFU.

NFU is not a condition
for CTB — ~~was~~ parallel
negotiations.

Dec.
see ~~text~~. ACA — ambass.
article

[Hahn, CDM Today
pledge
not to use nuclear
weapons at all —
will make treaty
or deployment without
any meaning.

Int. Convention
on prohibition of NCU
and non-use against
NNWS.

[I raise contradiction
- Dec. statement

"non-use at all" *

vs. "NFCU / non-use as NNUF"

"good question"

NO

For this is how working
on working of a
country

ye Peter

* "Can't mean that 'use'

wouldn't use the one if
["at all"] water state did use

then,

[WCP: "any" use
is illegal.

~~MA~~ G.O.C on abolition?

Then: PSA's?

Mr. Dargatzis

China believes that a
pledge of all NWS
not to use nuclear
weapons at all is
far more crucial, [than ^{CTB}]
because this will not only make
the testing, development, production
or deployment of any
meaning, but will also
give a great impetus
to nuclear disarmament
and is a more effective

step toward the NP
goal underscored by XPT.
We strongly call upon all
the NNWS to undertake the
same commitments as
China used to conduct
parallel negotiations and its
early conclusion of an int.
convention on unconditional
NFU of arms weapons and
non-use of arms against
NNWS and war-free zones.

complete 1

NWS [with "largest
one around"] "should
continue to masterfully
manage the one around
so as to create conditions for other
NWS to join the process,
... and for the final rejection
of complete prohibition
and thorough destruction
of one weapon."

ACA Dec 93
b-9

CG "took over" of
Nov 93 shift to FU by
R, but made no
public statement. It
does not regard it as
threatening to C, since
relations are good with
C-R; and R is not so
strong as SU; we think
has more to do with
control over republics of
FSU (!)

Ge Rums asks:

- Will US get indep.

extension?

(G of C position: wants
a "smooth extension"

(don't specify, long/
indep or short)

- What if NK withdraws?

China was said
it would refrain

Was such of other
totally cycling —

"We won't test till
China do"!

Have an idea of pros &
cons of testing affected
by clinicians (a family
test? ^{very rare} Parents to NPT
were concerned about
impairment

16 Nov 93

Workshop on
PV

China policy: wants comprehensive package
as an incentive
CTB, fiss. cont. off., ddb reductions,
(NFU - not mentioned) - "everything."
(MP-II)

"Should we consider Russia, or US,
as enemy - after CW?"

We do not think we have any
security problems to agree, esp. India.
(although if there is prolif.)

[Meet CP on China trip]

Sept. 93

(2)

Dear friend (Chau Pee yan)

As you know, I have been for 40

years interested, as you have, too, in
shutting down construction of nuclear weapons.

We've come a long way since the first
meeting in 1958, and the whole world seems
to be becoming a safer place.

Some misguided people in our weapons
labs ^{may} have convinced some in your
labs that tests are necessary for safety reasons.

It is possible that these unwelcome tests
could destroy the whole movement toward
safety that has occurred in the last
years. I hope you can use your
influence to oppose ~~the~~ what we understand
is to be a test planned for the near
future.

Your friend, Jerome B. Wiesner

ask Frank (

Former President Dr. Chi Chi
of Fudan University

Gene Conell, 13 Sept 93



China an ambiguous - they could
refrain from saying whether they will
test or not, ^{before US} because "problem is part of
larger problem: great preponderance of arms in
US & SU.

Brits were obnoxious;

R (Daniel Culp was aggressive &
assumptive - treating it as each other)

Chinese Embassy
/ Sat 10AM
Way Sat 18 93.

CTB

Has not yet received any notice from Beijing
We have taken note of memoranda -
are appreciative that, of course.

Some new powers have not given
up policy of non-deterrence

[China?] [has now said "no war -
no second-use"]

po

positive attitude to CTB

CTB should be discussed in the framework
of total destruction of nuclear weapons

all new states should work
out a convention not to use [RUS]
nuclear weapons

then no need for Treaty.

the thorough destruction of war

C

C is very very prudent about Treaty
WP- of 38

Of 5, C is the underst; knows no
about

We have promised not to use, ^{your} ~~your~~
not to let the print to use...

Cochran: Do you anticipate getting
info from Beijing as to whether

A: Our spokesman in Beijing will
be asked, and will under

We, of course, ask...

But Ith got wife worried in form of
public statements in Beijing - not to
send by separate wire, since Western
press usually doesn't carry the
news, unless "important."

DK: Don't test, if I remember correctly,
you said your policy was not to be
the first to test (though not an
official policy)

(Earlier, he mentioned "de facto moratorium")

Don't test ... I don't know if you quote
my words correctly ...

TC: your national intention of NFE —
as people in Beijing.

If you test, it will be difficult
to get a NFE committee ...

we have resisted to China's desire
to get NFE as reason for NFE ...

Way: NFE + testing are not of equal
weight — NFE is much more important.

Schuy: you have said your Test
policy has been permanent
but it could not be permanent
now

PS: If C breaks master, and
central groups will as before stay
away from MFN issue

W: If US cuts MFN US will suffer,
but so will US ... we will
have to accept.